

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,154	04/22/2004	Takashi Aketa	0171-1087PUS1 5733	
2292	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			SCHATZ, CHRISTOPHER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1733	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)   Applicant(s)   AETA ET AL.							
### Examiner Christophor T. Schatz   1733    - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheer with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  E sterations of time may be available under the provisione of 37 CPR 1.138(a), he no event, however, may a regly be timely filled.  E those products on sept is specified soon, the maintain subtaining period valing and and the specification of the specification of the regly will be to regly william the set or extended period for regly will be ulable, cause the application to become ABANDONED (93 U.S.C. § 133).  **Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2008.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **Disposition of Claims**  4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.  4) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE Of THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederation for interrupt by availation used the proteins—at 3°C FR 1.78(b), in orwant, horavar, may suply be things filed.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stallation period will apply and will expire 3 KIV, (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Feature to reply with the set or contrade period for reply is specified above, the maximum stallation period will apply and will expire 3 KIV, (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Feature to reply with the set or contrade period for reply is specified above, the maximum stallation period will apply and will expire 3 KIV, (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Feature to reply with the set or candidate of the communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication, some flams from the mailing date of this communication.  Status  1) Separation is period to communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)		10/829,154	AKETA ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensive from many be available used the provided of 37 cft 1.13(6), in ne event, however, may a reply be linely filled  8 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum shallulary pariod will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the soft or explicate above, the maximum shallulary pariod will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the soft or explication and the soft of the communication, own if limiting date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the soft or explication and the soft of the communication, own if limiting date of this communication, own if limiting date of this communication, own if limiting date of this communication.  This part of the soft of the soft of the communication, own if limiting date of this communication, own if limiting date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the soft of the soft of the communication, own if limiting date of this communication, own if limiting date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the soft of t	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of from any be available under the prevations of 37 CPR 1.136(a), he no event, however, may a regipt be timely filled.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum adulation grained value apple and value page for the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within he set or instructed patrol for reply will. by datule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply recented by the Office above, the maximum date of this communication, even if finally filed, may reduce any events and the mailing date of this communication, even if finally filed, may reduce any events after the mailing date of this communication, even if finally filed, may reduce any events after the mailing date of this communication, even if finally filed, may reduce any events after the mailing date of this communication, even if finally filed, may reduce any events after the mailing date of this communication.  1) A reply recent them adjustment, date 27 CPR 1.764(b). This action is FINAL.  2) Disposition of Claims  2) This action is FINAL.  2) Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are rejected to be claim (s) the date of the communication of the date of the communication of the date of	TI MANUNO DATE CHI	!					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provides of 37 EPR 1.15(a). In a overal, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50. (6) MCNT155 from the mailing date of this communication. of the state of the communication of the communication of the provided of the communication of the provided of the provided of the communication of the provided of the provided of the communication. Any reply received by the Office bater than three moeths after for the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patro than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.  4) □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are rejected.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are rejected to 20 (claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are objected to 20 (claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are objected to 20 (claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are objected to 20 (claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are rejected to 20 (claim(s) 1-1 and 11 is/are solution and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2006.  2a)□ This action is FINAL.  2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)□ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) 1-3 and 11 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8)□ Claim(s) 1-3 are subjected to.  8)□ Claim(s) 1-3 are subjected to estriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some cill None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	<i>,</i> —	<i>,</i>					
Disposition of Claims  4)	,—	,— ,,					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Disposition of Claims						
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 11 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a)  All b)  Some * O)  None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application	cation.					
6) □ Claim(s) 1-7 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	r election requirement.					
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Altachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,— , ,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		A) 🗖 Interview 6	(PTO 413)				
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
		_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Takuman et al. (EP 1225211) and Simizu et al. '140

The admitted prior art discloses a method of preparing an air bag, said method comprising: furnishing a pair of base fabric pieces impregnated and/or coated with silicone rubber; laying the pieces one on the other with the coated surfaces of the pieces inside; and bonding or stitching peripheral portions of the pieces together to form a bag (page 1, lines 17-22). The admitted prior art is silent as to the specific bonding means. Takuman et al. discloses an addition reaction adhesive for bonding silicone rubber having an elongation at break of at least 1000% (Table 1), said adhesive comprising: an organopolysiloxane containing at least two alkenyl radicals in a molecule; an organohydrogenpolysiloxane containing at least two silicon atom-bonded hydrogen atoms in a molecule; a platinum group metal catalyst (page 2, lines 34-41); and an organopolysiloxane resin having siloxane units containing alkenyl radicals and siloxane units of the formula: SiO<sub>4/2</sub> in a molecule (page 2, line 55). Using a silicone rubber adhesive of the disclosed composition is advantageous because, as disclosed by Takuman et al.,

doing so provides superior adhesion to silicone coated materials (paragraph 0036). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by the admitted prior art by applying a silicone rubber adhesive with the composition taught by Takuman et al. to the peripheral portions of the base fabric pieces impregnated and/or coated with silicone rubber such that a superior bond is formed.

Page 3

While the reference discloses the presence of aluminum hydroxide, Takuman et al. is silent as to the presence aluminum hydroxide powder.

Simizu '140 discloses a polyorganosiloxane adhesive wherein said adhesive comprises surface treated aluminum hydroxide powder with an average particle size of between 0.01 to 50 microns (column 4, lines 44-45). Using aluminum hydroxide powder with said particle size is advantageous because, as disclosed by Simizu, doing so provides for a smooth surface and appearance after curing (column 4, lines 45-47). Additionally, the use of smaller, powder-like particles is critical to having high elongation and a low modulus (column 4, lines 34-37). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of the admitted prior art and Takuman et al. by using aluminum hydroxide powder in the silicone rubber adhesive as taught by Simizu. Such a modification would create a bond at peripheral portions of the base fabric pieces that has higher elongation. As to claim 2, Takuman et al. discloses a method wherein the aluminum hydroxide has been surface treated with a surface treating agent selected from the group comprising of fatty acids, resin acids organosilazanes and alkoxysilanes (page 4, lines 30-31). As to claim 5, Takuman et al. discloses a method wherein a silicone rubber adhesive further comprises of an alkoxysilane or a partial hydrolytic condensate thereof (page 4, line 21). As to claims 6 and 7, Takuman et al.

Art Unit: 1733

discloses a method wherein the composition further comprises an organic titanium compound (paragraph 0021). As to claim 11, examiner first asserts that the claim, as currently worded, *does not exclude* the presence of other inorganic fillers. By using the language "wherein *an* inorganic filler", applicant is establishing the presence of one inorganic filler, and then requiring that said one inorganic filler "consist essentially of the aluminum hydroxide powder." However, the language *does not require only one organic filler*. Thus, examiner asserts that the combination of references discussed above meet the claim because Takuman et al. discloses aluminum hydroxide as an inorganic filler and Simizu et al. discloses why it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use aluminum hydroxide in the form of powder.

3. Claims 1-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Takuman et al. (EP 1225211) and Simizu et al. '140.

The admitted prior art discloses a method of preparing an air bag, said method comprising: furnishing a pair of base fabric pieces impregnated and/or coated with silicone rubber; laying the pieces one on the other with the coated surfaces of the pieces inside; and bonding or stitching peripheral portions of the pieces together to form a bag (page 1, lines 17-25). The admitted prior art is silent as to the specific bonding means. Takuman et al. discloses an addition reaction adhesive for bonding silicone rubber having an elongation at break of at least 1000% (Table 1), said adhesive comprising: an organopolysiloxane containing at least two alkenyl radicals in a molecule; an organohydrogenpolysiloxane containing at least two silicon atom-bonded hydrogen atoms in a molecule; a platinum group metal catalyst (page 2, lines 34-41); and an organopolysiloxane resin having siloxane units containing alkenyl radicals and siloxane units of the formula: SiO<sub>4/2</sub> in a molecule (page 2, line 55). Using a silicone rubber

such that a superior bond is formed.

Art Unit: 1733

adhesive of the disclosed composition is advantageous because, as disclosed by Tackuman et al., doing so provides superior adhesion to silicone coated materials (paragraph 0036). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by the admitted prior art by applying a silicone rubber adhesive with the composition taught by Takuman et al. to the peripheral portions of the base fabric pieces

While the reference discloses the presence of surface-treated calcium carbonate powder, Takuman et al. is silent as to the presence aluminum hydroxide powder. Simizu '140 discloses a polyorganosiloxane adhesive wherein said adhesive comprises surface treated aluminum hydroxide powder with an average particle size of between 0.01 to 50 microns (column 4, lines 44-45). In Table 1, Simizu et al. compares calcium carbonate with aluminum hydroxide, said aluminum hydroxide having an average particle size of between 0.01 to 50 microns. The table shows comparative examples a and c comprising calcium carbonate and comparative examples b, d, e, f, and g with aluminum hydroxide and no calcium carbonate. In every example without calcium carbonate but with the aluminum hydroxide, the adhesive has a higher elongation. More specifically, applicant is referred to example (c) and example (d). It is noted that the only difference between the compositions is that the composition of example (c) contains calcium carbonate and example (d) contains aluminum hydroxide instead of calcium carbonate. Example (d) discloses higher tensile strength and higher elongation. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Takuman et al. by replacing Takuman et al.'s calcium carbonate powder with the aluminum

Art Unit: 1733

hydroxide powder of Simizu et al. Such a modification would produce a composition that forms a stronger bond with a higher elongation at the peripheral portions of the base fabric pieces.

As to claim 2, Simizu et al. discloses a method wherein the aluminum hydroxide has been surface treated with a surface treating agent selected from the group comprising of fatty acids. resin acids organosilazanes and alkoxysilanes (example 6, example 8, column 4, lines 37-39). As to claim 5, Takuman et al. discloses a method wherein a silicone rubber adhesive further comprises of an alkoxysilane or a partial hydrolytic condensate thereof (page 4, line 21). As to claims 6 and 7, Takuman et al. discloses a method wherein the composition further comprises an organic titanium compound (paragraph 0021). As to claim 11, applicant is referred to the discussion of claim 11 in section 2 above for the reasons as to why the combination of references meets the limitation of the claim. Applicant should further note that even if the claim were amended to require that aluminum hydride be the only inorganic filler, the claim would still be rendered obvious over the above cited references. Takuman et al. discusses the use of calcium carbonate as an inorganic filler (component c, paragraph 0014), and examiner established above why it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the aluminum hydroxide of Simizu et al. in place of the calcium carbonate in the method disclosed by Takuman et al. While Takuman et al. discusses a method wherein other inorganic filler are used, the reference discloses that said fillers are optional (paragraph 0018, 0020). Thus, examiner asserts that one of would have readily understood to perform the method disclosed by the admitted prior art and Takuman et al. with the aluminum hydroxide powder of Simizu et al. in the absence of any other inorganic filler.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,154 Page 7

Art Unit: 1733

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the admitted prior art have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner acknowledges that since the attempt "to apply an adhesive silicone rubber composition as a sealer to the peripheral portions of the base fabric pieces prior to bonding" relates to subject matter studied by the present inventors, it does not constitute prior art. However, applicant should note that because bonding at the peripheral portions of a base fabric is well recognized in the prior art, and the silicone rubber adhesive of Takuman with the aluminum powder of Simizu et al. represents an adhesive that can advantageously be used to bond silicone rubber together, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply said adhesive at peripheral portion because said adhesive has favorable properties (see section 2 above). Examiner further asserts that the new grounds of rejection establish a prima facie case of obviousness as discussed in sections 2 and 3 above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher T. Schatz whose telephone number is 571-272-1456. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30, Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,154 Page 8

Art Unit: 1733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher T. Schatz

RICHARD CRISPINO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700